

21st  
July  
1931

The Life Character & Opinions of F. H. the Spaniel.

Sketch.

The history of the Spaniel family.  
A Men - Mitford.

The

Life in Wrentham Street.  
Character Temper:  
The Rake.

Mr B. in B.

Mr Henry.

Home - Cash.

Other days.

Pira.

Florence Love.

Conclusion:

Human beings: dogs.

What a dog thinks of human beings:

His view of petticoats.

Byronic.

The influence of the eye.

Dogs then were different.

Blackmail:

More ditto.

The Life, Character & Opinion of  
Flurb. Michael.

The Spanish Flea

by numerous  
difficulties  
which not his  
last is that

divided  
the  
division

the origin  
of the name

385

When, we  
ask, came  
the Spanish,  
& what is  
the origin  
of the name.

He who would attempt to write the life of this dog is confronted at the outset by a problem which, ~~it may be, admits of no~~ ~~human solution.~~ ~~Historians themselves are~~ ~~here baffled & indeed divided~~ ~~into two camps~~ ~~the~~ ~~emergence~~ ~~of~~ ~~the~~ ~~greatest~~ ~~scholars~~ ~~&~~ ~~but~~ ~~divided~~ ~~their~~ ~~ranks.~~ ~~When~~ ~~division~~ ~~in~~ ~~their~~ ~~ranks.~~ For when we ask of the greatest scholars of the age. If we ask ~~where~~ the derivation of the name, — & the reason for so asking will be immediately apparent — we are told by one school of thinkers that it derives from the Latin Hispania, the name given to by the Romans to the peninsula of Spain. But this however is flatly contradicted by the other school of thought, which holds to it that the word Spanish derives from the ~~the~~ ~~title~~ Every word of imbalance is added that the name Spanish has some connection with Spain; while many derive the word from the term Hispania given by the Romans to the Spanish peninsula; while there are three in a school that believe — though some think <sup>two</sup> schools <sup>where</sup> the evidence scanty — that Hispania was so called from the profusion of rabbits, that lived there & were called by the Carthaginian "span", & <sup>and</sup> ~~then~~ on the contrary hold that the word derives from the Basque "spana" an edge or boundary. Why a dog should be called And while ~~every~~ there is every temptation to accept the easy inference that the dog was called after the rabbit, we have to admit another school of thought which claims the word. — & if we accept that

He who would attempt to write the life of the Spanish  
Flesh is met at the outset by problems which have  
traced the infidelity & divided the ranks of some of  
the ablest scholars of our time. For if we ask the  
simple & inevitable question, whence comes the name, we  
are met by a variety of answers which serve rather to  
perplex than to inform, & open up tracks of inquiry  
never yet satisfactorily closed. There is no

doubt, & of course like it seems are agreed  
that the <sup>word</sup> Spanish is called so called because he came  
originally from Spain. ~~But Spain, <sup>the Hispania</sup> of the Roman~~

~~to say was so called by because was so called~~  
~~however, which~~ ~~On the one hand, we have the~~  
two schools of thought at once springing into existence, ~~here~~  
the school, to if we may so summarize, of the Rabbit -  
the school of the Egg. The first, whom for  
obvious reasons we would willingly follow, is ad hoc  
to the belief that the word Hispania derives from the  
Carthagena Span - a rabbit to Spain they say  
was once overrun with rabbits, so the other  
contradict this theory, derive the word Hispania  
from the Basque 'Espana' an eye or boundary  
If we follow the first, we can then say to perceive  
that the people overrun with rabbits would  
provide themselves with dogs, & that these dogs would  
be called rabbit dogs, or "span" dogs,  
The other ~~supposition~~ & it is at least we can creditly  
suppose that a people calls its country after its animals.  
It is difficult to see why a dog should be so named,  
unless in the primitive which had a lower allude to -  
large lady as true, or to a very small child as meant.





provided with dogs; & that they brought their dogs with them when they conquered Wales; & that they left their dogs after behind them when they left Wales. & thus these dogs bred & multiplied as dogs ~~do~~ <sup>by habit</sup>. All this is easy & probable enough: but candour must allow that there is a wide chasm that it has a wide gulf to compass, & a great reach to overcome, in order to supply any of ~~it~~ <sup>it</sup> in order to explain how the Clan ~~of~~ the people of the Clan either a hor would have known Spain or Iberia, & would therefore have called their dogs Iberians, & not as yet called their dogs Spaniels, by a name which, when they came into Wales, was not yet in existence.

who  
came to  
Wales  
500  
years  
before  
Christ  
Horned

That modern research will solve these difficulties, it has not already done so, is much to be hoped. But that ~~the~~ <sup>the</sup> ~~great~~ if we have to admit that there is doubt as to the origin of the Spanish family, we are only saying what the biographer of many famous men must admit also. Of the number there can be no doubt - the Spaniels race of Spaniels (reputed) they call in Ireland. It achieved value & reputation before any other famous families had risen from servile & despising labour; & by the time of Elizabeth had split up by intermarriage into several highly distinguished families with

This <sup>is</sup> ~~the~~ brief survey, though tedious & inelaborate, has at least proved that the family to which ~~the~~ subject of this study belongs is of the highest antiquity. Long before Plantagenets, Tudors, Stuarts, had ascended the throne of England, the Spanish game was in existence - the long before the great families of England had emerged from obscurity, the Spanish had separated itself from the common stock of fowls, sheep dogs, & henthens class. <sup>was known as a breed apart</sup> And, as we pursue the course of history looking from the fabulous ages of myths of antiquity to the more authentic ~~comparisons~~ <sup>records</sup> of the Elizabethan, Caroline, <sup>18th century</sup> Georgian times, so we find the Spanish, emerging too, <sup>2</sup> ~~becoming~~ <sup>breaking up</sup> as like the ~~Russells, Cavendishes~~ ~~Howards~~ ~~not~~ English nobility into separate families. Each boasting a fine separate pedigree & claiming separate ~~peculiar~~ characteristics. By the time the eighteenth century is reached, we find that at least seven branches <sup>had</sup> ~~of the~~ ~~Spanish~~ have sprung from the parent stock. <sup>At the time of the</sup> ~~At the time of the~~ ~~George~~ ~~the~~ ~~George~~ we have only to run over the ~~under~~ ~~the~~ eighteenth century is reached: the Chamber, the Jones, the Noakes, the Blackford, the Locker, the Irish Water & the English Water Spaniel; ~~as~~ ~~they~~ ~~and~~ ~~what~~ ~~is~~ ~~more~~ ~~remarkable~~, not only were the separate families firmly established, but by a most drastic system of selection, far more drastic than any that the aristocratic & royal families could maintain in their own families, insured that the different breeds kept their purity, their isolation, their integrity unimpaired, without a single inbreed. Hence by the ~~by~~ ~~the~~ in the nineteenth century a

The family  
The Jones  
& the Brown  
& Robinson,

2000

When therefore in the nineteenth century a Herald  
 Club was formed, its officers could point not only to  
 it was just possible, with a certainty which the Herald's  
 Office could hardly <sup>expect</sup> claim, to lay trace the pedigree of  
 the different families; & what was to be <sup>set</sup> down  
 standards for the various families of the Herald's  
 which to & further to establish a set of rules  
 to which the members of the different families had to conform,  
 or <sup>any</sup> <sup>particular</sup> <sup>rule</sup> <sup>of</sup> <sup>the</sup> <sup>club</sup> <sup>was</sup> <sup>to</sup> <sup>be</sup> <sup>observed</sup>.  
 We find that the Cocker family, to which the Duke  
 of Devon belonged, were who <sup>had</sup> <sup>the</sup> <sup>habit</sup> <sup>of</sup> <sup>wearing</sup> <sup>a</sup> <sup>top</sup> <sup>knot</sup>, <sup>then</sup> <sup>the</sup> <sup>cock</sup> <sup>was</sup>  
 a top knot, & with a light nose was <sup>observed</sup>. To have  
 light eyes was not <sup>so</sup> <sup>fatal</sup> <sup>but</sup> <sup>undesirable</sup>.  
 Curled ears again were very undesirable; & as which to  
 be born with a top knot, or with a light nose is  
 so known a <sup>crime</sup> <sup>that</sup> <sup>it</sup> <sup>cannot</sup> <sup>be</sup> <sup>called</sup> <sup>merited</sup>  
 fatal. Dogs who exhibited these faults were not  
 allowed to perpetuate them; & any dog who  
 exhibited these faults was <sup>cut</sup> <sup>out</sup> <sup>from</sup> <sup>the</sup> <sup>hereditary</sup> <sup>line</sup>.  
 It was not allowed to  
 perpetuate them. It was not allowed to  
 the marriage with such a one was considered a  
 fatal <sup>disqualification</sup> <sup>his</sup> <sup>rank</sup>; his  
 services were <sup>reduced</sup> <sup>to</sup> <sup>nothing</sup> <sup>and</sup> <sup>he</sup> <sup>was</sup> <sup>degraded</sup>  
 denied any share in the <sup>revenue</sup> <sup>of</sup> <sup>the</sup> <sup>state</sup>.  
 Drastic as these measures are, they to them that we  
 owe the purity & <sup>distinction</sup> <sup>of</sup> <sup>the</sup> <sup>heraldic</sup> <sup>breed</sup>, &  
 the undeviating accuracy with which generation after  
 generation, each branch of the family preserves its  
 the <sup>same</sup> <sup>type</sup>, <sup>whatever</sup> <sup>the</sup> <sup>type</sup> <sup>may</sup> <sup>be</sup>.  
 Exhibits either the magnificent <sup>voluntary</sup> <sup>of</sup> <sup>the</sup> <sup>club</sup>  
 with his long barrel <sup>throat</sup> <sup>tie</sup>; or his truncated  
 muzzle, his deep eye <sup>sometimes</sup> <sup>showing</sup> <sup>the</sup> <sup>law</sup>  
 nothing is more impressive than the <sup>unvarying</sup> <sup>mean</sup>  
 in which generation after generation repeat the same

which  
 does  
 answer  
 that the  
 high standard  
 this - not to  
 count

or if  
 & if he  
 purified,  
 his offspring  
 was  
 denied  
 his <sup>rank</sup>  
 she <sup>rank</sup>

Whether the Name of the Clumber, or Name of the Cocker.  
The long haired & straight of the Clumber; or <sup>with his long legs</sup> the ~~moderately~~  
light yet muscular face of the Cocker, to which his eye is  
set, given. And even within the race in the case of all long  
established varieties the different qualities are by  
the time of extreme variety, & far in the course of time  
the same family has developed the most characteristic all  
the subtle, & doubtless some of the varieties upon the  
varieties have been developed; & it cannot be denied  
that some branches - we need mention only the Clumber -  
have arrogated to themselves or assumed by nature  
merit - an ascendancy which is tacitly recognized  
by the other, even though they may be supposed to  
cost to day in what the claim consists.

About the beginning end of the eighteenth Century  
 a family of the famous Coker thaniel  
 bred was living near Reading in the house of a certain  
 Dr. Mitford or Medford, who claimed descent from the  
 border family of the Mitfords of Beaton Castle; &  
 who had married Miss Punch who was if exactly still  
 decidedly ~~connected~~ <sup>connected</sup> from the Duke's house of Medford. ~~That they would be~~  
 Thus, though we have not been able to verify the ~~system~~ <sup>system</sup> which ~~was~~ <sup>was</sup> ~~hered~~ <sup>hered</sup> ~~but~~  
 was born, & his ~~circumstances~~ <sup>circumstances</sup> in which ~~our~~ <sup>our</sup> ~~hered~~ <sup>hered</sup> ~~but~~  
~~obscurely~~ <sup>obscurely</sup> which we have been unable to penetrate, we  
 are safe in assuming that he was well bred for  
 not his family for several ~~the doctor himself~~ <sup>the doctor himself</sup> are  
 but the principles upon which ~~the doctor himself~~ <sup>the doctor himself</sup> ~~is~~ <sup>is</sup> ~~carried on~~  
 are notoriously unsatisfactory. Even if we  
~~had~~ <sup>had</sup> ~~decided~~ <sup>decided</sup> ~~far~~ <sup>far</sup> ~~from~~ <sup>from</sup> ~~being~~ <sup>being</sup> ~~not~~ <sup>not</sup> ~~only~~ <sup>only</sup> ~~are~~ <sup>are</sup> ~~we~~ <sup>we</sup> ~~in~~  
 some doubt as to the ~~practical~~ <sup>practical</sup> & in fact ~~the~~ <sup>the</sup> ~~fact~~ <sup>fact</sup>  
 although Dr. Mitford claimed that he had ~~been~~ <sup>been</sup> ~~well~~ <sup>well</sup> ~~bred~~ <sup>bred</sup>  
 for might claim that the advantages are tolerably long  
 descent, his ~~practical~~ <sup>practical</sup> ~~was~~ <sup>was</sup> ~~such~~ <sup>such</sup> that any  
 bench of judges the making, making of his ~~ambition~~  
 had been carried on in such a haphazard  
 hand to mouth fashion that no bench of judges  
 could possibly have admitted his ~~claim~~ <sup>claim</sup> to perpetuate his  
 breed, kind. If a ~~light~~ <sup>light</sup> ~~man~~ <sup>man</sup>, a Popkin, &  
 he ~~knows~~ <sup>knows</sup> almost every defect that a human  
 can dignify a human ~~man~~ <sup>man</sup> from ranking as a  
 specimen of the kind, that the human animal  
 can supply. He was ~~Agent~~ <sup>Agent</sup> ~~most~~ <sup>most</sup> ~~of~~ <sup>of</sup> ~~his~~  
 qualities, a judicious judge could ~~only~~ <sup>only</sup>  
 He was not so wholly selfish; ~~insincere~~ <sup>insincere</sup>;  
 recklessly extravagant; ~~worldly~~ <sup>worldly</sup>; ~~insincere~~ <sup>insincere</sup>; &

what it  
 wd. seem  
 any clear  
 knowledge  
 of the  
 points at  
 wh- to  
 a son,

These words are  
 defects the same  
 gravity as white nose,  
 light eye & long knots.  
 wh. the same name.  
 color 'fatal' to name.

NYPL

For at this <sup>sub</sup> date in human  
 history we have not included  
 what <sup>sub</sup> 'jishin' are appeared.

adduced to high way; - against all others He wanted his wife's  
 fortune: & then his daughters; he fed upon their deaths in his  
 voracity & hunged upon them in his infirmity; - <sup>one</sup> ~~See his~~  
~~My Mother - glutting he destroyed the natural beauty~~  
~~symmetry of his person~~ Even if the judges ~~was~~ true  
 that the judges might have been ready to ~~give their~~ <sup>give their</sup> ~~faults~~  
 faults to blame the beauty of his person; but when this  
 was destroyed, ~~before~~ by his habits of intemperance -  
 glutting & indeed ~~it is difficult to avoid the~~ <sup>one point</sup>  
~~conclusion that he~~ <sup>there remains indeed only one</sup> ~~point~~  
 point of indeed could have been adduced in his <sup>he had</sup>  
 favour - a considerable one <sup>is</sup> ~~is~~ true - a lifelong <sup>2</sup> ~~2~~ <sup>permanents</sup>  
 passion for dogs. Whether this is <sup>ever</sup> ~~ever~~ <sup>than</sup> ~~than~~ is  
 sufficient to ~~give~~ <sup>Dr. Mi</sup> ~~admit~~ <sup>Dr. Mitford</sup> ~~to the~~  
~~rights~~ <sup>And</sup> ~~which~~ <sup>might</sup> ~~have~~ <sup>counted</sup> ~~some~~ <sup>in</sup> ~~his~~  
 but how far this virtue outweighs the other defects we  
 do not know. <sup>we</sup> ~~we~~ <sup>hardly</sup> ~~hardly <sup>enquire,</sup> ~~enquire, <sup>for</sup> ~~for <sup>the</sup> ~~the <sup>rules</sup>  
 of the Spanish Club have no <sup>consequence</sup> ~~consequence~~ <sup>in</sup> ~~in <sup>human</sup>  
 society, & it thus came about that Dr. Mitford  
 was allowed to ~~live~~ <sup>live</sup> ~~marry,~~ <sup>to</sup> ~~live~~ <sup>for</sup> ~~for~~ <sup>regularly.</sup>  
 over eighty year, & to ~~beget~~ <sup>beget</sup> ~~a~~ <sup>a</sup> ~~child.~~  
 to have charge of several generations of <sup>great</sup> ~~great~~ <sup>grand</sup>  
~~children~~ <sup>children</sup> & to beget a child.~~~~~~~~~~

This is the devotion & unselfishness of this  
 child, Mary Punch Mitford, <sup>that</sup> ~~we~~ <sup>probably</sup> ~~owe~~ <sup>the</sup>  
~~life~~ <sup>of</sup> ~~our~~ <sup>lives.</sup> ~~probably~~ <sup>owed</sup> ~~his~~ <sup>life</sup> ~~the~~ <sup>to</sup> ~~her~~ <sup>parentage</sup>  
 Although most of her time was spent in <sup>earning</sup> ~~earning~~  
 money, <sup>to</sup> ~~to <sup>be</sup> ~~be <sup>in</sup> ~~in~~ <sup>sending</sup> ~~sending~~ <sup>her</sup> ~~her <sup>father,</sup> ~~father, <sup>as</sup> ~~as <sup>he</sup> ~~he <sup>informed</sup>  
 flew when he was; The still <sup>continued</sup> ~~continued~~ <sup>to</sup> ~~to~~ <sup>far</sup> ~~far~~ <sup>to</sup>  
~~to~~ <sup>to</sup> ~~keep~~ <sup>us</sup> ~~that~~ <sup>her</sup> ~~greatness~~ <sup>to</sup> ~~the~~ <sup>best</sup>  
 welfare of her <sup>greatness</sup> ~~greatness~~ <sup>to</sup> ~~the~~ <sup>best</sup>  
<sup>to</sup> ~~to~~ <sup>keep</sup> ~~in~~ <sup>mind,</sup> ~~with~~ <sup>due</sup> ~~attention, <sup>the</sup> ~~the~~ <sup>not</sup>  
<sup>to</sup> ~~to~~ <sup>the</sup> ~~the~~ <sup>best</sup> <sup>of</sup> ~~of~~ <sup>her</sup> ~~her~~ <sup>greatness</sup> <sup>to</sup> ~~to~~ <sup>the</sup> ~~the~~ <sup>best</sup>~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

6  
 he found,  
 as his  
 especially  
 from what  
 he devoted  
 Bacchus.  
 for at

we hardly  
 know what  
 means  
 at a  
 head or  
 how to  
 an -

contribute to Mr. Mitford's most solid claim to the descent of his  
race. Is the first first mentioned in the year 1787?  
When around seen, the Spanish were first come to Dr.  
Mitford home, at Reading, to the year of his death in  
18 - ~~Mr. Mitford was never without a Spanish;~~  
~~the Spanish lived with the Mitfords, one generation of~~  
~~Spanish succeeded another in the Mitford home; &~~  
it is fairly clear, from some verses written by Mrs.  
Mitford 'To Tray - Stolon' that by the year 1816  
the Cock<sup>a</sup> and cocker Spanish was the best ancestor  
Flunk, was in her <sup>that we come upon a direct</sup>  
<sup>superior to the day who was presumably the direct</sup>  
<sup>ancestor of Flunk.</sup> ~~ancestral~~ <sup>ancestral</sup> ~~ancestral~~  
when we read Mrs. Mitford  
went to Tray - Stolon, <sup>we can hardly admit yet</sup>  
doubt that this day was a red cocker Spanish; that  
it was ~~of the pure~~ Pochy we venture to think is  
not the most satisfactory medium in which to  
define the points of Spanish, but allowing for this  
trade may, his skin made plain that Tray was  
a pure red red cocker Spanish. His nose was  
thick, his feet feathered, his ears  
thin; his coat was wing, but long, & the colour of his  
richer red, with some admixture of the <sup>pure</sup>  
pure white. None seem have a Cuban  
inflection.

NYPL

Dec 25<sup>th</sup> 1847  
My flesh.

Letter 2.  
19

Letter 1. July 3<sup>rd</sup> 1846.  
222. and at English Cooking  
Manuel

all inquiries have failed to elicit the exact date of  
Flush's birth. But, since he was  
born in 1844 but there was every ~~probable~~ reason  
to believe that he was born some time in the year 1844  
& that his father was also ~~called~~ Flush, & was  
a dog of some celebrity, <sup>the</sup> 'real old English Cockney  
Manill' for whom Dr. Hiltford valued twenty guineas  
'on account of his excellence in the judo'. ~~However this~~  
~~may be, there can be no doubt~~ Flush himself  
was a red cockney; with the yellow eyes, long  
ears of his kind, & that his mother his descent was  
pure on both sides ~~and that he exhibited~~  
~~all the marks he had~~ <sup>of that</sup> exhibiting all the marks of  
pure breeding that go to make a Manill & is  
of value is proved by the ~~course~~ of his history.  
The first year <sup>the like</sup> was spent passed at Nine Mile Cross  
near Reading in the cottage where Mary Russell  
Hiltford lived after the death of her parents.  
And it would seem almost certain that she  
took Flush to London in the Spring of 1845  
& gave him to a friend of her, Miss Elizabeth  
Barrett of Wimpole Street; for there is a  
word that she was up in London "happily"  
the dearest Miss Barrett, or ~~unfortunately~~  
~~when we were as to the happens~~ <sup>the fact</sup> the very  
point that is of most importance to us, & while  
the ~~the~~ gives us the fullest information about  
celebrated people, like a kind ample Mass to  
talk of Mr. Gou, & his forty thousand acres of  
cabbages in Horn Stoken, about the Hoar of

of Parliament & the Horticultural Fli at Chiswick  
& Wood's Song & the Minstrel & Dickens's Christmas Carol  
& so on, she makes no reference to the fact, that it was  
the ~~spring~~ that dawn on this occasion that she  
must have departed Flusk at number 56 Wimpole  
Street, & ~~there~~ she does not say whether she was on this  
occasion that she left Flusk at 56 Wimpole Street,  
to begin ~~his career~~ the last chapter of his life in London  
Hence ~~we~~ the first authentic record of his existence here is  
to be found in a letter dated May 2<sup>nd</sup> 1845, when Miss  
Barrett speaks of "I am capable of being childish  
'in earnest' about 'horns, & straws, & such 'puppy dog'  
tails' as my Flusk's!" - from which we may infer  
that he ~~was~~ <sup>think</sup> still a puppy, ~~and~~ that he had already  
impressed Miss Barrett ~~with~~ <sup>in</sup> some favourably -  
that ~~it~~ <sup>was</sup> the qualities which ~~were~~ <sup>she</sup> ~~shewed~~ <sup>was</sup>  
which were afterwards so marked & so appreciable.

As this upon Miss Barrett that we must now  
depend almost entirely for our knowledge of  
everything the like character & opening <sup>your</sup> <sup>here</sup>  
~~we~~ ~~at~~ ~~we~~ ~~are~~ ~~too~~ ~~inconstant~~ ~~that~~ ~~we~~ ~~should~~ ~~try~~  
know as clearly as we can the nature of a lady  
upon whom so much depends.  
The ~~character~~ <sup>like</sup> ~~circumstances~~ of this lady in so far as  
they ~~throw~~ <sup>light</sup> upon may help ~~us~~ ~~to~~ ~~understand~~ ~~and~~ ~~her~~ ~~day~~.  
The ~~early~~ ~~insuccess~~ ~~in~~ ~~test~~ to bring us to a better  
understanding of the day. She was <sup>years</sup> of  
will not be out of place.

~~we are driven, even~~ & the biographer is driven from the  
outlet to admit. Indeed, from the outset, the  
biographer is driven to adopt ~~as the indirect~~ as the  
oblique method ~~is~~ of narrative - to deduce, ~~that is to say,~~  
from the lives & characters what is known of the  
lives & character of others what is to the life &  
Character of Flush. Thus, ~~from your report that~~  
~~there can be no doubt that the peculiarities of Mr. Nutford-~~  
his who required that his daughter should support him by writing,  
yet should also read aloud to him for eight hours a day,  
that he was we feel assured certain that what she required  
~~in~~ day was were precisely those qualities of unselfishness,  
silence, independence & good manners which her father  
lacked. Dogs the most you have observed, do not  
play cribbage; we want one to read aloud eight  
hours a day, we moan when I have to leave them -  
order to write, we require that I should read eight  
or twelve hours rubbing scribbles for their benefit.  
Dogs do not gamble, swear, or go & when ill times  
come upon us, they will always fetch a few pounds,  
whereas the a father can adopt the rather dangerous  
new method quite unnecessary for him to seek  
employment when he has a daughter who can write.  
We then arrive at the conclusion that Flush was <sup>even a</sup>  
gent; unselfish; affectionate & ten faithful. & <sup>a hussy</sup>  
~~are confirmed in this belief~~ ~~the~~ ~~is~~ ~~confirmed~~ ~~as~~ ~~we~~  
~~proceed.~~ Miss Nutford & that this must have been  
his character even as a hussy is confirmed by the  
following consideration. Miss Nutford, like  
though driven to ruin her gift by over work, was  
a lady of talent, taste; ~~a~~ woman by birth a lady; &  
though shallow, though poverty, & so ill judged, as the



entrance merit of old Dr. Nutford, which made any letter which  
 one could do him an honour. Miss Barnett listened for  
 they had very much the two fallen, & then the two  
 daughters had in common; & therefore the deaths of the  
 two ladies were indubitably, & naturally drawn to  
 do. ~~What is certain is that sometime in~~  
~~the year 1843 or the~~ ~~some time therefore in the~~  
 early forties, Miss Nutford Miss Nutford must have  
 And since then, we can hardly doubt that his kind presence  
 on the ~~unhappy~~ ~~was~~ ~~her~~ ~~own~~ ~~change~~, took place, which was to  
 brought Fluh from a small & humble college in near  
 Reading to ~~Windsor~~ <sup>London</sup> Street; & established him in  
 a home ~~at~~ <sup>in</sup> some time in the year 1844  
 Miss Nutford brought Fluh to London; - the exact date,  
 the exact incident - must be left vague - I pointed him  
 with Miss Barnett & ~~at~~ ~~the~~ ~~home~~ - number 56 -  
 is still standing; & ~~it~~ ~~can~~ ~~hardly~~